

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ELLIOTT BUSHHEAD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

2:12-cv-00921-JCM-VCF

**ORDER CONCERNING REVIEW OF
SOCIAL SECURITY CASES**

This action involves the judicial review of an administrative action by the Social Security Administration (hereinafter “SSA”) denying plaintiff’s claim for Social Security benefits.

1. This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1395FF(b)(1)(A), which incorporates 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and allows for judicial review of a final decision of the SSA.

2. Judicial review of the SSA's final decision must be based solely on the administrative record. This court may affirm, modify, or reverse the final decision of the SSA. Under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), the SSA's final decision will be disturbed only if the factual findings underlying the decision are not supported by substantial evidence or if the decision fails to apply the correct legal standards. *Tackett v. Apfel*, 180 F.3d 1094, 1097 (9th Cir.1999). The findings of the SSA as to any fact shall be conclusive and must be upheld if supported by substantial evidence. 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); *Mayes v. Massanari*, 276 F.3d 453, 459 (9th Cir.2001). “Substantial evidence” is “more than a mere scintilla but less than a preponderance; it is such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a

1 conclusion.” *Sandgate v. Chater*, 108 F.3d 978, 980 (9th Cir.1997). Whether substantial evidence
2 supports a finding is determined from the record as a whole, with the court weighing both the evidence
3 that supports and the evidence that detracts from the ALJ's conclusion. *Id.* When the evidence can
4 rationally be interpreted in more than one way, the court must uphold the SSA's decision. *Id.*

5 3. Actions of this nature are automatically assigned to the United States Magistrate Judge for
6 preparation of a Report and Recommendation to the United States District Judge.

7 THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

8 1. In the event plaintiff intends to request a remand of this case on the basis of new evidence,
9 plaintiff will, within thirty (30) days of the filing of this Order, file a motion to remand in this Court
10 based on new evidence. The new evidence shall be attached to the motion. A copy of the motion and
11 evidence shall be served on:

12 United States Attorney
13 Lloyd D. George United States Courthouse
14 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South
Las Vegas, NV 89101

15 2. In the event plaintiff files a motion for remand on the basis of new evidence, the defendant
16 will have until thirty (30) days from the date of service of such motion to file either, (i) a notice of
17 voluntary remand of the case, or (ii) points and authorities in opposition to plaintiff's motion. If
18 defendant files points and authorities in opposition, plaintiff will have until twenty (20) days from the
19 date of service of such points and authorities to file a reply.

20 3. Under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), remand for reconsideration of new evidence will not be granted
21 unless the evidence is new and material and there is a showing of good cause for failure to incorporate
22 the evidence into the record at an earlier stage. Therefore, if plaintiff seeks remand for consideration of
23 new evidence, the motion will include a statement of reasons why the new evidence was not
24 incorporated into the record at an earlier stage.

1 4. In the event plaintiff does not file a motion for remand on the basis of new medical evidence,
2 the plaintiff will, within thirty (30) days of receipt of this Order, file with this Court a motion for
3 reversal and/or remand.

4 5. Whenever plaintiff files a motion for reversal and/or remand, which includes issues based on
5 the administrative record, plaintiff's motion shall include:

6 (a) A specification of each and every condition or ailment, or combination thereof, that
7 allegedly renders plaintiff disabled and is allegedly supported by evidence contained in
8 the administrative record.

9 (b) A complete summary of all medical evidence in the record that supports plaintiff's claim
10 of disability due to each condition or ailment specified in subparagraph 5(a) above, with
11 precise references to the applicable portions of the record. This summary shall not
12 include medical evidence unrelated to the conditions or ailments upon which plaintiff's
13 claim or claims of disability are based.

14 It shall be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if plaintiff shall stipulate that the
15 Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized the evidence contained in the record.

16 (c) A complete summary of all other evidence adduced at the administrative hearing that
17 supports plaintiff's claim with precise references to the applicable portions of the record.

18 It shall be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if plaintiff shall stipulate that the
19 Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized the evidence adduced at the
20 administrative hearing.

21 (d) A complete but concise statement as to why the record does not contain substantial
22 evidence to support the defendant's claim.

23 6. If defendant has not filed a notice of voluntary remand and the issues in question relate to
24
25

1 the administrative record, the defendant, within thirty (30) days after being served with plaintiff's
2 motion for reversal and/or remand, will file a cross-motion to affirm which will be considered an
3 opposition to plaintiff's motion. This motion will include:

4 (a) A complete summary of all evidence in the record that the defendant contends constitutes
5 substantial evidence to support the administrative determination that plaintiff is not
6 entitled to the benefits in question. It will be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph
7 if the defendant will stipulate that the Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately
8 summarized the evidence contained in the record.

9 (b) A complete summary of all testimony adduced at the administrative hearing, including
10 the Administrative Law Judge's findings, if any, concerning the credibility of witnesses,
11 which the defendant contends constitutes substantial evidence to support the
12 administrative determination that plaintiff is not entitled to the benefits in question. It will
13 be sufficient compliance with this subparagraph if the defendant will stipulate that the
14 Administrative Law Judge fairly and accurately summarized the testimony adduced at the
15 administrative hearing.

16 (c) A statement as to whether there are any inaccuracies in the summaries filed by plaintiff.
17 If the defendant believes plaintiff's summaries are inaccurate, defendant will set forth
18 what additions or corrections are required (with appropriate references to the record) in
19 order to make the summaries accurate.

20 7. The motions filed by plaintiff and defendant pursuant to paragraphs 5 and 6 of this Order,
21 respectively, must also contain appropriate points and authorities dealing with the specific legal issues
22 involved in this case, rather than principles of law applicable to Social Security cases in general.

23 8. Plaintiff will be deemed to have acceded to the accuracy of the summaries supplied by the
24
25

1 defendant in response to subparagraphs 6(a) and 6(b) of this Order unless within twenty (20) days after
2 being served with defendant's motion to affirm plaintiff must file and serve a reply brief setting forth:

- 3 (a) In what manner the summaries are inaccurate;
- 4 (b) What additions or corrections are required (with appropriate references to the record) in
5 order to make the summaries accurate.


6 9. The motions filed by both plaintiff and defendant must also contain the following:

- 7 (a) A statement as to whether the transcript of the administrative hearing can be adequately
8 comprehended in spite of the fact that such transcript may contain the words "inaudible"
9 or "unintelligible" in one or more places, and specifying each page, if any, in which
10 testimony relating to the particular issues of this case cannot be adequately
11 comprehended.
- 12 (b) A specification of each page in the administrative record that is partially or totally
13 illegible, and a statement whether each such illegible page contains information relevant
14 to an understanding of any issue presented in this case.

15 10. Oral argument will be deemed waived and the case shall stand submitted unless
16 argument is ordered by the Court or requested pursuant to Local Rule 78-2, by one of the
17 parties within ten (10) days following the filing of the last document required by this
18 Court. It will be at the Court's discretion whether oral argument is granted.

19 11. Failure of a party to file a motion or points and authorities required by this Order may
20 result in dismissal of the action or reversal of the decision of the SSA.

21 DATED this 30th day of October, 2012.

22 
23 CAM FERENBACH
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25